

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/602,923	TIVEY ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Gabrielle McCormick	<b>Art Unit</b> 3629	

**All Participants:**

- (1) Gabrielle McCormick. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.  
 (2) James Miner. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 14 May 2009**Time:** 3:00 pm**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

9

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.****SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Examiner requested authorization to make Examiner's Amendment to remedy the improper dependency of claim 9. Additionally, Examiner will amend specification to reflect application numbers of related applications.*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/JOHN G WEISS/  
 Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3629  
 /G. M./  
 Examiner, Art Unit 3629

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)